Contributors

Ben McMahan SWCO Editor: Assistant Research Professor, UArizona

Mike Crimmins UArizona Extension Specialist

Dave Dubois New Mexico State Climatologist

Gregg Garfin Founding Editor

Nancy J. Selover Arizona State Climatologist

Published by the Climate Assessment for the Southwest (CLIMAS), with support from University of Arizona Cooperative Extension, the Arizona State Climate Office, and the New

Disclaimer. This packet contains official and non-official forecasts, as well as other verify this information, please understand that these materials. The user assumes the entire risk related to the use of this data. CLIMAS, UA Cooperative Extension, and the State whether expressed or implied, including (without limitation) any implied warranties purpose. In no event will CLIMAS, UA Office at ASU or The University of Arizona be exemplary damages or lost profit resulting from any use or misuse of this data.

June Southwest Climate Outlook

Monthly Precipitation and Temperature: May precipitation ranged between record driest and average in most of Arizona, and between much below average and average in most of New Mexico (Fig. 1a). May temperatures were above average in nearly all of Arizona and New Mexico (Fig. 1b). The daily average temperature anomalies for May 1 – Jun 14 (Fig. 2) highlight the fluctuations at select stations around the region.

Seasonal Precipitation and Temperature: Mar-May precipitation ranged from average to much-above average in most of Arizona and from much below average to above average in most of New Mexico (Fig. 3a). Mar-May temperatures were above average to much above average across most of the U.S. Southwest (Fig. 3b).

Water Supply & Drought: Water year precipitation to date (Oct 1, 2019 - May 31, 2020) is above normal to much above normal across most of Arizona and New Mexico (along with west Texas and southern California), while the Four Corners and much of southern Colorado is below normal or much below normal. Many of the reservoirs in the region are at or above the values recorded at this time last year, but most are below their long-term average (see Arizona and New Mexico reservoir storage on page 7). The Jun 9 U.S. Drought Monitor (USDM) maintains drought characterizations in the Four Corners region while expanding severe and extreme drought characterizations (D2 and D3, respectively) in southern Colorado, and northern and eastern New Mexico. (Fig. 4).

Wildfire: Arizona, eastern New Mexico, southwestern Colorado, and most of Utah and Nevada are forecast for above-normal wildfire risk in July (Fig. 5). Currently, there are numerous fires burning in Arizona and New Mexico including the Bighorn Fire near Tucson, the Sawtooth and Bush Fires near Phoenix, and the Mangum Fire in northern Arizona (See inciweb.nwcg.gov for up to date information on fire activity). Fig. 6 shows wildfire acres burned in 2020 (as of June 17) compared to recent years and long term averages.

ENSO Tracker: Current conditions are ENSO-neutral, and are expected to remain neutral through summer 2020, with roughly equal chances of an ENSO-neutral or a La Niña event this fall (see ENSO-tracker for details).

Precipitation and Temperature Forecast: The three-month outlook for July through Sept calls for equal chances of above- or below-normal precipitation in Arizona, New Mexico, most of Texas, and northern Mexico (Fig. 7, top). The three-month temperature outlook calls for increased chances of above-normal temperatures across most of the western U.S. and northern Mexico (Fig. 7, bottom).

Tweet June 2020 SW Climate Outlook

JUN2020 @CLIMAS_UA SW Climate Outlook, ENSO Tracker, AZ & NM Reservoirs, Monsoon Timing, Onset, Climatology, https://bit.ly/3hA1GgC #SWclimate







Figures 1,3 National Centers for Environmental Information

Figures 2,6 Climate Assessment for the Southwest

Figure 4 U.S. Drought Monitor

Figure 5 National Interagency Fire Center

Figure 7

Intl. Research Institute for Climate and Society



June 2020 SW Climate Outlook





Figure 2: Daily Temperature Anomalies May 1 - Jun 14 (L) & Frequency of Anomalies (R)





Figure 4: US Drought Monitor - Jun 9, 2020



Figure 5: NIFC.gov Significant Wildland Fire Potential - July 2020



45 50 60 70+

40

Figure 1 Australian Bureau of Meteorology bom.gov.au/climate/enso

Figure 2 NOAA - Climate Prediction Center cpc.ncep.noaa.gov

Figure 3 International Research Institute for Climate and Society iri.columbia.edu

Figure 4 NOAA - Climate Prediction Center cpc.ncep.noaa.gov

El Niño / La Niña

Information on this page is also found on the CLIMAS website:

climas.arizona.edu/sw-climate/ el-niño-southern-oscillation

Equatorial Niño Regions



For more information: ncdc.noaa.gov/ teleconnections/enso/indicators/sst/

Image source: aoml.noaa.gov/

ENSO Tracker

Sea surface temperatures (SSTs) are near normal across most of the equatorial Pacific (Figs. 1-2). Conditions are forecast to remain ENSO-neutral through summer 2020, while some outlooks point to a possible La Nina event later in 2020.

Forecast Roundup: On June 9, the Australian Bureau of Meteorology maintained their ENSO outlook at an inactive status. They higlighted that a few models indicate a possible La Niña in 2020, and are monitoring these conditions to determine La Niña watch status. On June 10, the Japanese Meteorological Agency (JMA) maintained its call for a 60-percent chance of ENSO-neutral conditions through summer 2020. On June 11, the NOAA Climate Prediction Center (CPC) issued its ENSO diagnostic discussion with an inactive alert status. The CPC called for a 60-percent chance of ENSO-neutral through summer 2020, and with "roughly equal chances" between ENSO-neutral and La Niña for fall 2020. On June 11, the International Research Institute (IRI) issued an ENSO Quick Look (Fig. 3), noting "model forecasts favor coolish but neutral SST conditions into summer, becoming more strongly belowaverage, and possibly in weak La Niña territory." The North American Multi-Model Ensemble (NMME) mean forecast is within the range of neutral, but is projected to move closer to borderline La Niña conditions later in 2020 (dashed black line, Fig. 4).

Summary: The oceans cooled over the past few months, and overall, oceanic and atmospheric conditions were in the range of ENSO-neutral during this period. Most forecasts call for these conditions to remain ENSO-neutral through the summer, but by fall, it is effectively a toss-up between ENSO-neutral and La Niña. ENSO status tends to have a weak relationship with monsoon precipitation (at least in our current understanding). La Niña is associated with suppressed tropical storm activity in the Pacific during the fall, and drier than normal conditions in the winter.



Figure 1: May 2020 Sea Surface Temperature (SST) Anomalies





3

Figure 1

International Research Institute for Climate and Society

abs/10.1175/2007JCL11762.1

Figures 2a-2b

Monsoon Definition & Progression National Weather Service - Tucson wrh.noaa.gov/twc/monsoon/ monsoon.php

Figure 3

CLIMAS: Climate Assessment for the Southwest climas.arizona.edu

NWS Tucson has an excellent extended explanation of seasonal atmospheric dynamics that drive monsoon progression. wrh.noaa.gov/twc/monsoon/ monsoon_info.php



Southwest Climate Podcast climas.arizona.edu/media/podcasts

Monsoon Onset

In 2008, the National Weather Service (NWS) changed the definition of the start of the Southwest monsoon from a variable date based on locally measured conditions to a fixed date of June 15 (and a fixed end date of Sept 30). This allowed for a clear delineation of the period of monsoon activity (108 days) and focused NWS's messaging strategy as it pertains to the expected hazards during that period, which include extreme heat, strong winds, dust storms, flash flooding, lightning, and wildfires (see monsoon safety awareness hub at NWS Tucson).

Prior to 2008, the flexible start date reflected the seasonal progression of the monsoon, with a considerable temporal gradient across the region (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Historical Monsoon Onset Date

This gradient is linked to seasonal atmospheric patterns and the establishment of the "monsoon ridge" in the Southwest (Figs. 2a-b, also see sidebar for link to NWS pages). The heating of the complex topography of the western U.S. with the

increasing sun angle and contrast with the cooler water of the adjacent Pacific Ocean lead to the establishment of this upper-level ridge of high pressure over the Southwest U.S. (also known as Four " Corners High). The around this flow upper-level ridae shifts from a dry southwesterly fetch in May to a moisturerich southerlysoutheasterly fetch in late June/early July (see figures, right).

In Southern Arizona, the monsoon start date was based on the average daily dewpoint 5720 temperature. Phoenix





and Tucson NWS offices used the criteria of three consecutive days of daily average dewpoint temperature above a threshold (55 degrees in Phoenix, 54 degrees in Tucson) to define the start date of the monsoon. As shown in Figure 3 the dewpoint temperature criterion produced start dates ranging from mid-June to late July over the period of record (1949-2016).



Figures 4,6 CLIMAS: Climate Assessment for the Southwest climas.arizona.edu



Figure 5 UArizona Climate Science Applications Program cals.arizona.edu/climate/

data: PRISM Climate Group













Figure 6: Monthly Monsoon Precipitation - Select SW Stations

Figure 7 UArizona Climate Science **Applications Program** cals.arizona.edu/climate/

CLIMAS **Research & Activities**

CLIMAS Research

CLIMAS Outreach

Climate Services



Figure 7: Monthly Average Monsoon Precipitation (1981-2010)

>9

8

7

6

5

3

2

0.01

Portions of the information provided in this figure is available at the Natural **Resources Conservation Service**

The map gives a representation of current storage for reservoirs in Arizona and New Mexico. Reservoir locations are numbered within the blue circles on the map, corresponding to the reservoirs listed in the table. The cup next to each reservoir shows the current storage (blue fill) as a percent of total capacity. Note that while the size of each cup varies with the size of the reservoir, these are representational and not to scale. Each cup also represents last year's storage (dotted line) and the 1981-2010 reservoir average (red line).

The table details more exactly the current capacity (listed as a percent of maximum storage). Current and maximum storage are given in thousands of acre-feet for each reservoir. One acre-foot is the volume of water sufficient to cover an acre of land to a depth of 1 foot (approximately 325,851 gallons). On average, 1 acre-foot of water is enough to meet the demands of four people for a year. The last column of the table lists an increase or decrease in storage since last month. A line indicates no change.

These data are based on reservoir reports updated monthly by the National Water and Climate Center of the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS).

Reservoir Volumes

Reservoir

1. Lake Powell

2. Lake Mead

3. Lake Mohave

4. Lake Havasu

6. San Carlos

7. Verde River System 94%

8. Salt River System 96%

5. Lyman

DATA THROUGH JUNE 1, 2020 Data Source: National Water and Climate Center, Natural Resources Conservation Service





Current

Storage*

12,238.5

10,971.0

1,707.0

573.2

15.7

187.1

268.9

1,947.7

Capacity

50%

42%

94%

93%

52%

21%

Max

Storage*

24,322.0

26,159.0

1,810.0

619.0

30.0

875.0

287.4

2.025.8

*KAE: thousands of acre-feet



* in KAF = thousands of acre-feet

One-Month

Change in

Storage*

553.2

-444.0

7.0

1.9

-1.6

-17.7

-16.0

-23.7

Reservoir	Capacity	Current Storage*	Max Storage*	Change in Storage*
1. Navajo	81%	1366.7	1,696.0	69.9
2. Heron	33%	133.0	400.0	18.8
3. El Vado	32%	60.4	190.3	19.0
4. Abiquiu	48%	90.1	186.8	2.2
5. Cochiti	89%	44.6	50.0	-1.2
6. Bluewater	15%	5.6	38.5	-1.0
7. Elephant Butte	18%	402.2	2,195.0	-98.3
8. Caballo	23%	77.4	332.0	3.9
9. Lake Avalon	42%	1.9	4.5	-0.2
10. Brantley	47%	19.7	42.2	-11.5
11. Sumner	46%	16.6	35.9	-5.6
12. Santa Rosa	40%	42.5	105.9	8.7
13. Costilla	51%	8.1	16.0	-0.2
14. Conchas	23%	57.2	254.2	-10.9
15. Eagle Nest	59%	46.7	79.0	-1.4
16. Ute Reservoir	81%	161	200	0.0

One-Month

Figure 1 Climate Program Office

RISA Program Homepage

cpo.noaa.gov/Meet-the-Divisions/ Climate-and-Societal-Interactions/ RISA

New Mexico Climate Center

weather.nmsu.edu

CLIMAS Research & Activities

CLIMAS Research climas.arizona.edu/research CLIMAS Outreach climas.arizona.edu/outreach Climate Services

climas.arizona.edu/climate-services



The Climate Assessment for the Southwest (CLIMAS) program was established in 1998 as part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessments program. CLIMAS—housed at the University of Arizona's Institute of the Environment—is a collaboration between the University of Arizona and New Mexico State University.

The CLIMAS team is made up of experts from a variety of social, physical, and natural sciences who work with partners across the Southwest to develop sustainable answers to regional climate challenges

What does CLIMAS do?

The CLIMAS team and its partners work to improve the ability of the region's social and ecological systems to respond to and thrive in a variable and changing climate. The program promotes collaborative research involving scientists, decision makers, resource managers and users, educators, and others who need more and better information about climate and its impacts. Current CLIMAS work falls into six closely related areas: 1) decision-relevant questions about the physical climate of the region; 2) planning for regional water sustainability in the face of persistent drought and warming; 3) the effects of climate on human health; 4) economic trade-offs and opportunities that arise from the impacts of climate on water security in a warming and drying Southwest; 5) building adaptive capacity in socially vulnerable populations; and 6) regional climate service options to support communities working to adapt to climate change.



Figure 1: NOAA Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessments Regions